USCA4 Appeal: 20-2186 Doc: 20 Filed: 12/22/2021 Pg: 1 of 3

No. 1:17-bk-00021 Doc 1034 Filed 12/22/21 Entered 12/22/21 10:14:43 Page 1 of

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 20-2186	
In re: EMERALD GRANDE, LLC,	
Debtor.	
PREMIER BANK, INC., as successor by merger to First	Bank of Charleston, Inc.,
Creditor - Appellant,	
V.	
EMERALD GRANDE, LLC,	
Debtor - Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia, at Clarksburg. Irene M. Keeley, Senior District Judge. (1:19-cv-00143-IMK)	
Submitted: December 2, 2021	Decided: December 22, 2021
Before THACKER and QUATTLEBAUM, Circuit Judge.	ges, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit
Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.	

Kevin W. Barrett, Maggie B. Burrus, BAILEY & GLASSER LLP, Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellant. Steven L. Thomas, Robert L. Bandy, KAY CASTO & CHANEY

USCA4 Appeal: 20-2186 Doc: 20 Filed: 12/22/2021 Pg: 2 of 3

No. 1:17-bk-00021 Doc 1034 Filed 12/22/21 Entered 12/22/21 10:14:43 Page 2 of 3

PLLC, Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

USCA4 Appeal: 20-2186 Doc: 20 Filed: 12/22/2021 Pg: 3 of 3

No. 1:17-bk-00021 Doc 1034 Filed 12/22/21 Entered 12/22/21 10:14:43 Page 3 of

PER CURIAM:

Premier Bank, Inc., appeals from the district court's order affirming the bankruptcy court's order disallowing a portion of Premier's proof of claim for attorneys' fees and expenses. Premier contends that the bankruptcy court construed the language of its loan documents too narrowly and that the court erred in determining that it was not entitled to reimbursement for portions of the claimed attorneys' fees and expenses.

"In reviewing the judgment of a district court sitting in review of a bankruptcy court, we apply the same standard of review that was applied by the district court." *Copley v. United States*, 959 F.3d 118, 121 (4th Cir. 2020). Thus, "we review the bankruptcy court's legal conclusions de novo, its factual findings for clear error, and any discretionary decisions for abuse of discretion." *Id.* With these standards in mind, we have reviewed the record submitted on appeal and the arguments of the parties and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Premier Bank, Inc. v. Emerald Grande, LLC*, No. 1:19-cv-00143-IMK (N.D.W. Va. Sept. 30, 2020). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED